Newsletter



Progress is tacky, knowledge is top!

nothing to envy to contemporary creations.

things. Like smartphones.

when the GPS is out of order?

What does it have to do with antiquity?

that we would be now unable to reproduce?

First question: what progress? Mankind did not change during the last 3000 years. Men have still the same passions, the same intellectual

The only thing that progressed is the knowledge which, transmitted from generation to generation, has expanded until today. And what about technological progress? Well, it just took advantage of the advances in

knowledge and put them into practice - and, we admit it, by creating new tools, it helped in return a new extension of knowledge. Long live

electricity, computers, medicine and many wonderful devices. "Much less

long live" new weapons, pollution and the thirst of the latest model of

Every progress should bring an improvement for our life. Is this still the

case? The poorest farmers are forced to buy seed every year, since new

high productivity varieties are unable to reproduce - which fits quite well the purpose of their producers. "Old people" are rubbish: they don't even

know how to use a GPS. Is that worse than not knowing how to use a map

Isn't it urgent to explain to all young people that everything we think, other men already thought about it millennia ago, that they already enjoyed the beauty of works and mastered it as well (if not better) than we do; that we

are today neither smarter nor more virtuous and that they created wonders

In short, the time has come to show that contributing to progress should not mean to be subjected to a fashion. If knowledge was immensely

enriched since antiquity, we must now pay more attention to knowledge

than to manufactured goods that, using knowledge, a small minority of people impose to all others. Let's be proud of our knowledge, not about

the things that money can buy (who gets richer?). Enjoying an evolution

It is essential that every man from every generation understands how

well he must take care of his knowledge and values. Otherwise, what

capacity. They always admire the same things, and ancient art has

Editorial:

www.AnticoPedie.net

If this page does not display properly, click HERE

News memo nr. 16 May 2013

New this month!

New pages about an interactive map of archaeological sites to visit!



0000

Did you notice on our new website

l'AnticoPédie

...Our Blog

In order to get a better interactivity with your comments, particularly on our Editorials and papers, we opened a Blog

Le Blo

Any comment and suggestion are welcome!

...Subscribe to our "ancient worlds diary"!



Click here...

0000

See you soon on our pages!

All your comments and advices



Who benefits from technology?

Last month, my computer broke down. I had it for 8 years, and considering the growing number of messages telling "your system is not optimized for this site", I took the plunge and changed my machine. What a trap!!

"Programmed obsolescence", they say: after 8 years, all appliances must fail so that we replace them... No matter if all other components are still working (quality experts would call this a defect: ideally everything should collapse at the same time) regardless of whether valuable raw materials are lost.

Thus, a component which was probably repairable, and a lot of still good others, went in the trash. And worse, while putting the machine in service. I observed that the mouse, the webcam and the



wifi board were no longer "compatible", and that the scanner was not 'maintained" any more.

With the software, it was not even better: many functions had been suppressed, and my old (free) email program had been replaced by a new (paying) one, a much less efficient version. So I moved to another one



Here comes the icing on the cake: this solved a mystery I had worried about for weeks. For some time, I didn't receive any more the mails sent by my favourite

company. Explanation: my server had decided they were spams and the mail program destroyed without any notice.

What is that kind of progress that destroys well working devices, polluting the planet, offering useless functions, removing useful ones, deciding for you what you must buy or discard, what interests you or not? Whatever you think about progress, it's time to consider its limits. This is the scope of our today's paper.

René Kauffmann



I-nad from the sixties



will he pass down to the next ones?

that is chosen by others is not a progress for ourselves



If you don't want any more to receive our News memos, please answer this mail while writing "Unsubscribe" in the "subject" area.